

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/544,212	Applicant(s)	
	Russo et al	
Examiner David M. Brunsman	Group Art Unit 1755	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) David M. Brunsman

(3) _____

(2) Robert J. Eichelburg

(4) _____

Date of Interview 19 Feb 1999

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

Previous action

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Discussed scope of enablement of claims and evidence necessary to support instant claims. Discussed status of prior art and the apparent conflict in the scope of the claims of the prior art. Discussed the rejections under 102/103 and ways in which they can be differentiated from the instant claims. Applicant's representative to file supplemental response in addition to hand carried response of the week of 8 February 1999.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.



DAVID M. BRUNSMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1755

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.